

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 98-7420**

---

DONALD E. CASEY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

RON ANGELONE, Director, Department of Corrections; G. JOHNSON, Deputy Director, Department of Corrections; ROBERT H. YOUNG, Regional Director, Department of Corrections; R. C. MATHENA, Correctional Officer Supervisor, Keen Mountain Correctional Center; GEORGE DEEDS, Warden; GENE SHINAULT, Assistant Warden Programs; M. SPRADLING, Treatment Program Supervisor; LIEUTENANT PAYNE, Security Officer; SERGEANT SCOTT, Security Officer; SERGEANT BLANSETT, Security Officer; SERGEANT KELLY, Security Officer; SERGEANT DAVIS, Security Officer; SERGEANT HARRISON, Security Officer; SERGEANT HONAKER, Security Officer; CORRECTIONAL OFFICER WICKS; OFFICER KISER; OFFICER COMPTON; OFFICER MULLINS; OFFICER VANDYKE; OFFICER SHRIEVE; OFFICER CULBERTSON; COUNSELOR VASS; MOISES E. QUINONES, Staff Physician; MS. GILBERT, Staff Nurse; MS. MULLINS, Staff Nurse; D. ROSE, Staff Nurse; NURSE LESTER, Staff Nurse; JANET SALYERS, Disciplinary Hearing Officer; SUSIE COX, Institutional Ombudsman; L. FLEMING, Lieutenant, Security Officer; R. FLEMING, Lieutenant, Security Officer,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, at Roanoke. James C. Turk, District Judge. (CA-97-573-R)

---

Submitted: March 16, 1999

Decided: April 1, 1999

---

Before ERVIN, LUTTIG, and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Donald E. Casey, Appellant Pro Se. Pamela Anne Sargent, Assistant Attorney General, Richmond, Virginia; Ronald D. Hodges, Mark Dudley Obenshain, Gene Rodney Young, II, WHARTON, ALDHIZER & WEAVER, Harrisonburg, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Donald E. Casey appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1998) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the magistrate judge's recommendation and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny Casey's motion to reassess his filing fee and affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Casey v. Angelone, No. CA-97-573-R (W.D. Va. Aug. 28, 1998). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED