

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 99-2343**

---

DELORES A. WRIGHT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

T. ROWE PRICE ASSOCIATES, INCORPORATED,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Marvin J. Garbis, District Judge. (CA-98-3206-MJG)

---

Submitted: February 24, 2000

Decided: March 1, 2000

---

Before MOTZ\* and KING, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Delores A. Wright, Appellant Pro Se. Emmett Francis McGee, Jr., Beth A. Winograd, PIPER, MARBURY, RUDNICK & WOLFE, L.L.P., Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

---

\* Judge Motz did not participate in consideration of this case. The opinion is filed by a quorum of the panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 46(d) (1994).

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Delores A. Wright appeals the district court's order granting the Defendant's motion for summary judgment in her employment discrimination suit. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Wright v. T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc., No. CA-98-3206-MJG (D. Md. Sept. 3, 1999).<sup>\*</sup> We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

---

<sup>\*</sup> Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on September 2, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on September 3, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).