

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-6243

QUENTIN MCLEAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

DOCTOR CLAY, in her individual and official capacity, as Doctor of Arlington County Detention Facility; DOCTOR TIEN, in his individual and official capacity as Doctor of the Virginia Department of Corrections, Powhatan Reception and Classification Center; TRACEY MARKS, in her individual and official capacity as Head Nurse of the Virginia Department of Corrections, Powhatan Reception and Classification Center; JOHN & JANE DOE, that have not been indicated are unknown to plaintiff at this time but reserve the right to amend this suit to include those persons indicated as John and Jane Does when plaintiff becomes aware of their capacities,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Robert G. Doumar, Senior District Judge. (CA-97-876-2)

Submitted: April 27, 1999

Decided: May 21, 1999

Before ERVIN, MICHAEL, and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Quentin McLean, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Quentin McLean appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1998) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See McLean v. Clay, No. CA-97-876-2 (E.D. Va. Jan. 22, 1999*). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* Although the district court's judgment or order is marked as "filed" on January 15, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on January 22, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the judgment or order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).