

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 99-6448**

---

CHARLIE HARRIS CLAY,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

RICK JACKSON,

Respondent - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western Dis-  
trict of North Carolina, at Charlotte. Graham C. Mullen, Chief  
District Judge. (CA-99-31-MU)

---

Submitted: September 30, 1999

Decided: October 6, 1999

---

Before NIEMEYER, WILLIAMS, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Charlie Harris Clay, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Charlie Harris Clay seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 1999). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal on the reasoning of the district court. See Clay v. Jackson, No. CA-99-31-MU (W.D.N.C. Mar. 11, 1999).\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

---

\* Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on March 10, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on March 11, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).