

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-6557

TOMMY EDWIN JONES, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

OFFICER MARTIN; SERGEANT ROGERS; CORPORAL
PRATT,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Orangeburg. Cameron McGowan Currie, District
Judge. (CA-97-340-5-22JI)

Submitted: September 8, 1999

Decided: September 24, 1999

Before NIEMEYER, WILLIAMS, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Tommy Edwin Jones, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Robert Thomas King,
WILLCOX, BUYCK & WILLIAMS, P.A., Florence, South Carolina, for
Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Tommy Edwin Jones, Jr., appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1999) complaint.* We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the magistrate judge's recommendation and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Jones v. Martin, No. CA-97-340-5-22JI (D.S.C. Mar. 25, 1999). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* Jones asserts on appeal that a magistrate judge, not a district court judge, issued the final order. The court's final order does, in fact, identify Cameron McGowan Currie as a magistrate judge. However, Judge Currie is a United States District Judge. The erroneous description of her judicial status is thus merely a clerical mistake that lacks legal significance.