

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-6631

THOMAS D. CROOKS, JR.,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

MICHAEL MOORE, South Carolina Department of
Corrections; NATHANIEL HUGHES, South Carolina
Department of Corrections; SOUTH CAROLINA DE-
PARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Charleston. Joseph F. Anderson, Jr., District
Judge. (CA-98-2456-2-17AJ)

Submitted: September 30, 1999

Decided: October 6, 1999

Before NIEMEYER, WILLIAMS, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Thomas D. Crooks, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Larry C. Batson, Sr.,
Lesli Brown Darwin, SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Thomas D. Crooks, Jr., appeals the district court's order denying relief on his petition filed under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254 (West 1994 & Supp. 1999). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal substantially on the reasoning of the district court. See Crooks v. Moore, No. CA-98-2456-2-17AJ (D.S.C. Apr. 26, 1999). In addition, with regard to Crooks' claim that his work credits have been miscalculated, we hold that Crooks has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C.A. § 2253(c) (West Supp. 1999). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED