

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 99-6719**

---

GARY L. DETEMPLE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JERRY C. HEDRICK, Administrator of Northern  
Regional Jail; J. O. VAUGHN, Lieutenant; JAMES  
D. SPENCER, First Sargeant; LARRY BUNTING;  
JOHN DRAKE, Hearing Officer; BRIAN MORRIS,  
Hearing Officer; JODI CUNNINGHAM, Counselor;  
FRANK G. SHUMAKER, State Administrator; PAM  
FULTON, Medical Director; JOHN DOE, Welder;  
SKIP ATKINS, John Doe Welder/Supervisor Main-  
tenance Supervisor; JOHN DOE, Assistant;  
FREDERICK P. STAMP, JR.; LISA GRIMES JOHNSTON;  
ROBERT H. MCWILLIAMS, JR.; DONALD J. TENNANT,  
JR.,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern Dis-  
trict of West Virginia, at Wheeling. Frederick P. Stamp, Jr., Chief  
District Judge. (CA-97-30-5)

---

Submitted: October 21, 1999

Decided: October 27, 1999

---

Before WIDENER and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Gary L. DeTemple, Appellant Pro Se. Chad Marlo Cardinal, Assistant Attorney General, Darrell V. McGraw, Jr., Leslie K. Tyree, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WEST VIRGINIA, Charleston, West Virginia; Helen Campbell Altmeyer, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Wheeling, West Virginia; Stephen Vincent Wehner, WEHNER & YORK, Washington, D.C., for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Gary L. DeTemple appeals the district court's orders granting judgment in favor of the Defendants in his 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 (West Supp. 1999) action and denying his motion for reconsideration of the same. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinions and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See DeTemple v. Hedrick, No. CA-97-30-5 (N.D.W. Va. Mar. 31, 1998; Mar. 15, 1999;\* May 5, 1999). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

---

\* Although this order was filed on March 15, 1999, it was entered on the district court's docket sheet on March 16, 1998. March 16, 1999, is therefore the effective date of the district court's decision. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 58 and 79(a); see also Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).