

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 99-6930**

---

WALTER SCOTT REYNOLDS,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

DENNIS BIDWELL, Warden, FCI Cumberland,

Respondent - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, District Judge. (CA-99-1270-PJM)

---

Submitted: January 31, 2000

Decided: February 22, 2000

---

Before WIDENER, NIEMEYER, and MOTZ, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Keith Golden, GOLDEN & MEIZLISH CO., L.P.A., Columbus, Ohio, for Appellant. Lynne A. Battaglia, United States Attorney, Larry D. Adams, Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Walter Scott Reynolds appeals the district court order denying his petition filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (1994). We have reviewed the record and the district court memorandum and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Reynolds v. Bidwell, No. CA-99-1270-PJM (D. Md. May 18, 1999).\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

---

\* Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on May 17, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on May 18, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).