

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 99-7123**

---

ANTONIO SANTELL,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

J. E. LAFAVE, Lieutenant,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, District Judge. (CA-99-1801-PJM)

---

Submitted: April 13, 2000

Decided: April 19, 2000

---

Before WIDENER and WILKINS, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Antonio Santell, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Antonio Santell appeals the district court's orders summarily dismissing his civil right complaint and denying his motion to vacate. We have reviewed the record and the district court's memorandum and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Santell v. Lafave, No. CA-99-1801-PJM (D. Md. July 9 & Aug. 2, 1999).\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

---

\* Although the district court's order denying the motion to vacate is marked as "filed" on July 30, 1999, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on August 2, 1999. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 803 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).