

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 00-1148

---

BARBARA LLOYD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

MAXINE BROCK; JOSEPH SEARS; VLYSSES S.  
BROADDUS; WINSTON LLOYD; JAMES W. PAYNE, JR.;  
FRANCIS V. PENNY; JOSEPH LYNCH,

Plaintiffs,

versus

BISHOP L. ROBINSON, Retired Secretary of Public Safety and Correctional Services, sued in his official and individual capacity; STUART O. SIMMS, Current Secretary of Public Safety and Correctional Services, sued in his official and individual capacity; RICHARD A. LANHAM, JR., Current Commissioner of Corrections; WILLIAM W. SONDERVAN, Current Commissioner of Corrections; WARDEN BESHEARS, Retired Warden, Eastern Correctional Institution, sued in his official and individual capacity; ALEXANDER FRANCIS, Warden, Maryland Correctional Pre-Release System, sued in his official and individual capacity; AT&T TELEPHONE COMPANY; J. JOSEPH CURRAN, JR., Attorney General of the State of Maryland; BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE COMPANY; KATHLEEN KENNEDY TOWNSEND, Lieutenant Governor, State of Maryland; PRESIDENT OF BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE COMPANY; JOHN DOE TELEPHONE COMPANIES,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Andre M. Davis, District Judge. (CA-99-3838-AMD)

---

Submitted: July 13, 2000

Decided: July 20, 2000

---

Before WIDENER, LUTTIG, and TRAXLER,\* Circuit Judges.

---

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Barbara Lloyd, Appellant Pro Se. Matthew Wade Nayden, Pamela Janice White, Sharon A. Snyder, OBER, KALER, GRIMES & SHRIVER, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

---

\* Judge Traxler did not participate in consideration of this case. The opinion is filed by a quorum of the panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 46(d) (1994).

PER CURIAM:

Barbara Lloyd appeals the district court's order dismissing as frivolous her civil action filed against various state officials, AT&T Telephone Co., Bell Atlantic Telephone Co., the President of Bell Atlantic Telephone Co., and various John Doe telephone companies. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny Lloyd's motion to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss on the reasoning of the district court. See Brock v. Robinson, No. CA-99-3838-AMD (D. Md. Jan. 3, 2000). Given this disposition, we deny AT&T's motion to supplement the record. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED