(09/22/2008 - 09/26/2008 Session)

U	/-1/15
٨	Norgan Cnty War Mem v. Baker
(Bailey)

CIVIL. Whether district court erred in finding that pension plan was a governmental plan and thus was exempt from ERISA; other issues.

07-1635
Stacy Platone v. United States Department of La

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT: Whether Board's fact finding was arbitrary and capricious; whether Act protects a whistleblower's reporting of fraud not adverse to investors' interests.

07-1686 Aero-Smith Inc v. Cardinal Air LLC (Bailey) CIVIL. Whether the district court erred in granting summary judgment to the plaintiffs and enforcing an arbitration award.

07-4685 US v. Calvin Hardy (Payne) SENTENCING. Whether district court had jurisdiction under 18 U.S.C. Section 3583(i) (2000) to revoke supervised release; whether sentence was plainly unreasonable.

PANEL II
Courtroom 2
Room 201 (Green Carpet)
9:30 a.m.

Monday, September 22, 2008

07-1555 M. S. v. Fairfax County School Board (Cacheris)

IDEA. What standards govern parents' claim for reimbursement; other issues.

07-1987 Noel v. Artson (Nickerson) CIVIL. Whether defendants sufficiently raised below the affirmative defense of qualified immunity and, if so, whether the denial of qualified immunity is appealable.

PANEL I Courtroom 1 Room 412 (Red Carpet) 9:30 a.m.

Tuesday, September 23, 2008

Run Date: Saturday, September 20, 2008

(09/22/2008 - 09/26/2008 Session)

07-0015 Blakeney v. Branker

(Voorhees)

07-1355 Ohio Valley Environmental Coal v. Elk Run Coal

(Chambers)

06-7784 McLean v. US (Doumar)

HABEAS CORPUS: Numerous issues arising out of state capital murder conviction and sentencing.

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW: Whether district court should have deferred to interpretation of regulation by Army Corps of Engineers; whether Corps properly limited the scope of its analysis; other issues.

PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT. Whether dismissal without prejudice because action or appeal is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim counts as a "strike" for purposes of revoking PLRA status.

> **PANEL II** Courtroom 2 Room 201 (Green Carpet) 9:30 a.m.

Tuesday, September 23, 2008

Run Date: Wednesday, September 3, 2008

07-0017

Moseley v. Branker

(Tilley)

07-1893

Bashe Yousuf v. Mohamed Samantar

(Brinkema)

07-1584

Jones v. Sears Roebuck & Co

(Johnston)

08-1651

Technology Partners, Inc. v. Brian Hart

(Mullen)

HABEAS CORPUS: Whether state's suppression of allegedly exculpatory evidence in capital case regarding a similar murder violated petitioner's due process rights.

TORTURE VICTIM PROTECTION ACT. Whether former official of Somalia accused of crimes under the TVPA is entitled to immunity under Foreign Services Immunities Act; other issues.

CIVIL. Whether class plaintiff had standing; law-of-case doctrine; other issues.

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION: Was it an abuse of discretion to deny preliminary injunction motion seeking enforcement of non-compete agreement.

PANEL III Courtroom 3 Room 223 (Tan Carpet)

Tuesday, September 23, 2008 9:30 a.m.

07-4563 US v. Tommy Mincey (Voorhees) CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE. Whether rental car company agent may consent to search of car driven by person not on rental agreement; other issues.

07-1991 Champion v. Black & Decker US (Currie) ERISA. Whether appellant established a conflict of interest warranting a less deferential standard of review.

07-4348 US v. Ralph A. Roseboro (Conrad) CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE. Whether this Court's decision in United States v. James, 337 F.3d 387 (4th Cir. 2003), has been called into question by the Supreme Court's decision in Shepard v. United States 544 U.S. 13 (2005).

PANEL IV Courtroom 4 Room 414-D (Tweed Carpet) 9:30 a.m.

Tuesday, September 23, 2008

07-1354

Worden v. SunTrust Banks Inc (Anderson)

07-1920

Dotson v. Pfizer, Incorporated (Britt)

07-7671

US v. Graydon Comstock, Jr. (Britt)

07-1443

East TN Natural Gas v. Thomas (Kiser)

EMPLOYMENT LAW. Whether employer violated the Employee Polygraph Protection Act.

FMLA: Numerous issues relating to judgment, damages, prejudgment interest and attorneys fees in action alleging interference with protected leave and firing for adoption-related absences.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: Whether Congress has authority to provide for civil commitment of persons in federal custody deemed sexually dangerous; whether program violates the due process or equal protection clause.

CIVIL PROCEDURE. Whether the district court properly granted a new trial on the ground that the verdict was excessive; other issues.

PANEL V Courtroom 5 Room 348 Courthouse 9:30 a.m.

Tuesday, September 23, 2008

08-4215 US v. William Jefferson (Ellis) CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE: Whether district court erred in denying motion to dismiss where alleged privileged legislative material was used to obtain indictment; other issues.

05-1329 Nimatallah Massis v. Michael Mukasey IMMIGRATION. Whether this Court may review an administratively unexhausted claim; related issues.

07-1804 Waterside Capital v. Hales Bradford Allen (Smith) CIVIL. Whether district court erred in applying choice of law rules and dismissing breach of contract, professional malpractice, and constructive fraud claims under Rule 12(b)(6).

PANEL I Courtroom 1 Room 412 (Red Carpet)

Wednesday, September 24, 2008

9:30 a.m.

07-0011 US v. Richard Stitt (Jackson) CRIMINAL & POST-CONVICTION LAW: Whether defendant?s counsel had a conflict of interest; whether the district court should have reconvened a capital sentencing jury; other issues.

07-1513 Robert Moore v. Michael Mukasey (Lee) CIVIL. Whether genuine issues of material fact existed sufficient to warrant the denial of defendant's summary judgment motion on Moore's discrimination claims.

PANEL II Courtroom 2 Room 339 (Blue Carpet) 9:30 a.m.

Wednesday, September 24, 2008

08-6458

US v. Darrell Dews (Chasanow)

07-2057

SC Citizens for Life v. Krawcheck (Wooten)

07-1761

Callahan v. Mountain Empire Oil (Jones)

07-1719

Beam v. Tatum

(Dever)

CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE. Whether plea agreement entered into persuant to FRCP 11 (e)(1)(C) precludes sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. section 3582 (c) as a result of amendment to Federal Sentencing Guidelines.

FEDERAL PROCEDURE: Whether challenge to South Carolina election laws defining a PAC should have been dismissed.

BANKRUPTCY. Challenge to judgment in favor of bankruptcy trustee in action to recover rents for occupancy and use of stores prior to sale by debtor.

FEDERAL PROCEDURE: Whether plaintiff had state right to appeal a civil penalty; whether district court abused its discretion in applying Younger abstention doctrine.

> **PANEL III** Courtroom 3 Room 223 (Tan Carpet)

Wednesday, September 24, 2008

9:30 a.m.

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT Richmond, VA

(09/22/2008 - 09/26/2008 Session)

07-1285

Miller v. Mandrin Homes, Ltd. (Blake)

07-1442

Lightner v. City of Wilmington (Flanagan)

07-1525

Travelers Indemnity v. Tower-Dawson, LLC (Quarles)

07-1773

US ex rel Sanders v. North American Bus (Motz)

CIVIL. Whether the district court erred in entering summary judgment in CERCLA action due to conflicting evidence from expert witnesses; other issues.

TITLE VII. Whether genuine issues of material fact exist regarding reason for adverse employment action in race and gender discrimination case, rendering summary judgment for employer inappropriate.

CIVIL. Propriety of summary judgment; interpretation of insurance liability contract.

FALSE CLAIMS ACT: Whether alleged misrepresentations to the government were material to proper classification of buses for tariff purposes; statute of limitations; other issues.

PANEL IV Courtroom 4 Room 414-D (Tweed Carpet) 9:30 a.m.

Wednesday, September 24, 2008

(09/22/2008 - 09/26/2008 Session)

07-1063

Gorski v. ITT Long Term (Dever)

07-1768

Manuel Pena v. Jeffrey Porter

(Britt)

07-1651

Piedmont Environmental Council v. FERC

ERISA. Whether coverage properly denied based on finding of no objective evidence of disability.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: Numerous issues relating to application of qualified immunity defense to state and federal claims.

FEDERAL POWER ACT: Whether Federal Energy Regulatory Commission order exceeds its statutory authority or is otherwise unlawful.

PANEL V Courtroom 5 Room 348 Courthouse

Wednesday, September 24, 2008 9:30 a.m.

07-1596 Decision Insights v. Sentia Group, et al (Hilton) CIVIL. Propriety of summary judgment in favor of defendants based on failure to identify software trade secrets with particularity; breach of contract claims; sanctions.

PANEL I
Courtroom 1
Room 412 (Red Carpet)
8:30 a.m.

Thursday, September 25, 2008

07-4536

US v. Eric J. Bullard (Fox)

07-1600

(Messitte)

07-1506

W. Ryan Hovis v. General Dynamics (Duffy)

07-1343 Hahn v. US

(Messitte)

Altmayer-Pizzorno v. L-Soft Intl Inc

claims arising out of commercial sale.

BANKRUPTCY. Whether summary judgment was properly entered in favor of General Dynamics on

copyright misuse defense bars infringement damages.

SENTENCING. Whether in light of Gall v. United States this Court reviews all aspects of the

reasonableness of a sentence, including guidelines caculations not challenged on appeal.

FTCA. Whether medical malpractice action under FTCA is barred by statute of limitations.

COPYRIGHT LAW: Whether unambiguous notice of termination of agreement was given; whether

PANEL I

Courtroom 1

Room 412 (Red Carpet)

9:30 a.m.

Thursday, September 25, 2008

(09/22/2008 - 09/26/2008 Session)

07-0020 Wade Cole v. Gerald Branker

(Dever)

06-4950 US v. Geomar Quintero (Conrad)

06-5172 US v. William H. Taft, Jr. (Mullen)

HABEAS CORPUS. Numerous issues arising out of state capital murder conviction and sentencing.

CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE. Whether district court erred in ruling prior conviction was aggravated felony under 8 U.S.C. Section 1326(b)(2) and drug trafficking offense under USSG Section 2L1.2(b)(1)(A); other issues.

SENTENCING GUIDELINES. Whether the district court erred by applying a presumption of reasonableness to the Guidelines sentencing range; whether the sentence is reasonable.

> PANEL II Courtroom 2 Room 201 (Green Carpet) 9:30 a.m.

Thursday, September 25, 2008

(09/22/2008 - 09/26/2008 Session)

07-1967 Qorvis Communication v. Wilson (Ellis)

07-1610 Martin v. Harris

(Boyle)

07-1668 Robinson v. American Honda (Titus)

07-1901 Gagliano v. Reliance Standard (Brinkema) CIVIL. Whether district court erred in denying motion to vacate arbitration award arising out of claimed breach of duty to employer.

CIVIL. Whether the district court appropriately awarded prejudgment interest on a Jones Act claim; other issues.

CONTRACTS: Whether district court erred in dismissing claims for breach of express warranty and implied warranty of merchantability based on allegations of premature tire failure.

ERISA. Whether benefits were properly reinstated based on finding of plan administrator's procedural non-compliance; other issues.

PANEL III Courtroom 3 Room 223 (Tan Carpet) 9:30 a.m.

Thursday, September 25, 2008

07-1639 Ndeh v. Midtown Alexandria (Brinkema)

07-1777 Grissom v. Mills Corporation (Lee) ILSA: Whether contract for sale of condominium unit is exempt from Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act.

ATTORNEYS FEES: Multiple challenges to attorneys' fees awarded in Sarbanes-Oxley case.

PANEL IV Courtroom 4 Room 414-D (Tweed Carpet)

Thursday, September 25, 2008 9:30 a.m.

06-2050

Chi Alfred Zuh v. Gonzales

IMMIGRATION. Whether the Immigration Judge's discretionary denial of asylum was manifestly contrary to law and an abuse of discretion.

07-5144

US v. In the Matter of the Search of (Stamp)

GRAND JURY. Challenge to grand jury subpoena.

07-1431

SC Wildlife Fed v. Limehouse (Norton)

NEPA. Whether director of state agency can be sued for alleged violations of National Environmental Policy Act.

06-9518 In re Under Seal **UNDER SEAL CASE**

PANEL V Courtroom 5 Room 348 Courthouse

Thursday, September 25, 2008

9:30 a.m.

07-6358 US v. Smith (Goodwin)

(Hilton)

(Moon)

POST-CONVICTION. Whether counsel's failure to file certiorari petition, as requested, violates the Sixth Amendment right to counsel.

07-4051 US v. Kenny Regan Major CRIMINAL LAW & DROCEDURE. Whether there was sufficient factual basis to support guilty plea.

(Herlong) 06-2250 US v. Alhindi

FORFEITURE LAW. Numerous issues relating to determination of ownership of property at stake in ancillary proceedings to a criminal forfeiture action.

06-4977 US v. Louis Antonio Bryant

CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE. Speedy trial, Batson challenge, evidentiary rulings, sufficiency of the evidence, sentencing guidelines; other issues.

PANEL I Courtroom 1 Room 412 (Red Carpet) 8:30 a.m.

Friday, September 26, 2008

2008

07-4067 US v. Christopher A. Hall (Williams)

08-4291 US v. Tabetha Goforth (Reidinger)

06-4956 US v. Kamalu (Williams) CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE. Whether multiple retrials of defendants violated their rights under the Double Jeopardy Clause and denied them the right to a speedy trial.

BAIL REVIEW. Whether district judge has authority under 18 USC Section 3145(c) to determine that exceptional circumstances justify release on bail.

CRIMINAL LAW & PROCEDURE. Sufficient evidence; perjured testimony; amount of tax loss determined at sentencing; other issues.

PANEL II Courtroom 2 Room 201 (Green Carpet) 8:30 a.m.

Friday, September 26, 2008