

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 22-1607**

---

TIGRESS SYDNEY ACUTE MCDANIEL, and minor child,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

THE MCCLATCHY COMPANY; MCCLATCHY INVESTMENT COMPANY;  
MCCLATCHY NEWSPAPERS, INC.; THE MCCLATCHY COMPANY, d/b/a  
The Charlotte Observer; DOES,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at  
Charlotte. Frank D. Whitney, District Judge. (3:22-cv-00166-FDW-DSC)

---

Submitted: November 17, 2022

Decided: November 22, 2022

---

Before KING, QUATTLEBAUM, and RUSHING, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Tigress Sydney Acute McDaniel, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Tigress Sydney Acute McDaniel appeals the district court's order denying her Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion for reconsideration of the court's prior order denying McDaniel's application to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP), and dismissing McDaniel's civil action without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee. The court denied McDaniel leave to proceed IFP and afforded her 21 days to pay the filing fee, which she did not. Because the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying McDaniel's application to proceed IFP, *see Dillard v. Liberty Loan Corp.*, 626 F.2d 363, 364 (4th Cir. 1980) (providing standard of review), and McDaniel did not advance a viable basis for the Rule 60(b) motion, we discern no error in the court's order dismissing without prejudice McDaniel's civil action for failing to pay the filing fee. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *McDaniel v. The McClatchy Co.*, No. 3:22-cv-00166-FDW-DSC (W.D.N.C. May 23, 2022). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*