

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-1886

SAAD LODHI,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

OFFICER BRADSHAW; FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA; FAIRFAX COUNTY
POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at
Alexandria. Michael Stefan Nachmanoff, District Judge. (1:23-cv-00122-MSN-LRV)

Submitted: January 30, 2024

Decided: February 2, 2024

Before KING, AGEE, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Saad Usman Lodhi, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Saad Usman Lodhi appeals the district court's order denying his second motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). In his informal brief, Lodhi asserts that another judge granted him leave to proceed in forma pauperis in a separate case filed in the district court. Lodhi also complains that the court suddenly cancelled a hearing that was scheduled to address his second motion to proceed in forma pauperis. However, Lodhi does not challenge the court's conclusion that, in this case, leave to proceed in forma pauperis was unwarranted in light of his stated annual income. Because Lodhi's informal brief therefore fails to challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, he has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED