UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 25-4464

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

BINIAH CARTER,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Paula Xinis, District Judge. (8:23-cr-00442-PX-4)

Submitted: July 8, 2026 Decided: September 2, 2026

Before NIEMEYER and QUATTLEBAUM, Circuit Judges, and KEENAN, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

ON BRIEF: Dwight J. Draughon, Jr., Myles Ashong, STEPTOE LLP, Washington, D.C., for Appellant. Kelly O. Hayes, United States Attorney, David C. Bornstein, Assistant United States Attorney, M.J. Kirsch Muñoz, Assistant United States Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Greenbelt, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Biniah Carter pleaded guilty, pursuant to a written plea agreement, to conspiracy to commit mail and bank fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, and aggravated identity theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1028A. The district court sentenced Carter to two days with time served for the conspiracy count and a consecutive term of 24 months' imprisonment for the aggravated identify theft count, which is the statutory mandatory minimum sentence for that offense. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1028A. At sentencing, Carter argued that the government had breached the plea agreement, but after requesting supplemental briefing and carefully considering the issue, the district court rejected her argument. On appeal, Carter renews the issue, asserting that the district court erred by denying her motion to enforce the plea agreement. We have reviewed the record, however, and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. *See United States v. Carter*, No. 8:23-crw-00442-PX-4 (D. Md. Aug. 26, 2025). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED