**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 25-6851**

---

AMBER SUTTON MASINGO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CHAD A. BEALE; ISLE OF WIGHT SHERIFF,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Arenda L. Wright Allen, Senior District Judge. (2:22-cv-00382-AWA-LRL)

---

Submitted: August 20, 2026 Decided: August 25, 2026

---

Before AGEE and RUSHING, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

**ON BRIEF:** Rocco Columbus, RANDALL MCCLENNEY DANIELS AND DUNN P.C., Courtland, Virginia, for Appellant. John P. O'Herron, Peter S. Askin, THOMPSON MCMULLAN, P.C., Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Amber Sutton Masingo appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment in favor of Appellees on Masingo's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint. We review de novo the district court's grant of summary judgment. *Cowgill v. First Data Tech., Inc.*, 41 F.4th 370, 378 (4th Cir. 2022). Summary judgment is appropriate "if the movant shows that there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). Limiting our review to the issues raised in Masingo's opening brief, we have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. *See Adbul-Mumit v. Alexandria Hyundai, LLC*, 896 F.3d 278, 290 (4th Cir. 2018) ("Contentions not raised in the argument section of the opening brief are abandoned." (citation modified)).

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *Masingo v. Beale*, No. 2:22-cv-00382-AWA-LRL (E.D. Va. Sep. 5, 2025). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*